

Quality control. Evaluation of the meeting Huelva 4-6.06.2019

REPORT

1. Were information sufficiently supplied before the meeting?

yes 8

no 0

Comments: no comments

2. Did you get clear information about the tasks assigned to you in the agenda?

Yes 8

No 0

Comments: no comments

3. Did you prepare the tasks you were supposed to?

Yes 8

No 0

comments "I prepared them, but in a different form than the rest of partners"

4. Did the meeting reach its objective?

Yes 8

No 0

Comments no comments

5. Are you satisfied with the discussions and the decision making process?

yes 8

No 0

Comments: no comments

6. Is there anything you would like to improve for next time? If yes, please explain what.

yes 5

No 3

Comments: no comments

7. Are there issues you would have liked to tackle or problems you would have liked to resolve? If yes, please explain what.

Yes: 1

No: 7

Comments: no comments

8. Was the working environment satisfactory?

Yes 8

No 0

Comments .no comments

.

9. Were the accommodation, food and logistic arrangements satisfactory?

Yes 8

No 0

Comments: no comments.

.

10. Was the participation of all partners active?

Yes 8

No/yes 0

Comments : no comments

11. Are there some frictions among partners?

Yes 1

No 7

Comments: no comments.

12. Is there a clear and realistic timetable in place for next months?

yes : 8

No/ yes 0

Comments: no comments

13. Are the tasks assigned to you for the future clear and reasonable?

Yes: 8

No:0

Comments: no comments.

15. Please include here further comments, in the case you have any

no comments.

**Conclusions/ suggestions of the Polish partner, who is responsible for the quality control:**

**It seems that the last meeting was the most successful and practically everyone evaluated it very high and without any kind of controversies. It seems that the problems with communication at the very start of the project finally have disappeared.**